Amendments to the Drawings:

The Drawings are to be amended as follows. A new copy of Figs 1A-1F has been attached.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Office Action of August 21, 2007, the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Petition to Accept an Unintentionally Delayed Claim under 35 USC 120 and Amendment of the specification, drawings, claims and abstract, and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

page 1 of the present specification is amended to omit the sentence appearing before the title, to omit the title section heading, to omit the inventor and federal sponsorship sections, to correct the cross-reference to related applications section heading and to provide the correct continuity details therein;

the drawings are amended to insert Figures 1A-1F. Support for this amendment can be found in parent Application No. 09/922,274;

independent claim 1 is amended to clarify that the claimed invention is directed to an image sensing and printing digital camera device. Support for this amendment can be found at pages 1-3 and 13 and in Fig. 1 of the present application;

dependent claim 8 is amended to omit the second period;

dependent claims 2-7 are unchanged; and

the abstract is amended to be in proper form.

It is respectfully submitted that the above amendments do not add new matter to the present application.

Regarding Specification Objections

It is respectfully submitted that the amendment of the abstract to be in proper form, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Drawing Objections

It is respectfully submitted that the amendment of the drawings to insert Figures 1A-1F originally filed in parent Application No. 09/922,274, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding Claim Objections

It is respectfully submitted that the amendment of claim 8 to omit the second period, provides the correction required by the Examiner.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of above-discussed amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-8 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by Kita et al. (US 5,021,892), Audi et al. (US 5,619,622), Duncan et al. (US 6,597,394) and Nakayama et al. (US 2002/0024603) either taken alone or in combination with one another, because none of these cited references teach or suggest an image sensing and printing digital camera device as recited in the claimed invention.

Amdt. Dated: November 21, 2007 Response to Office Action of August 28, 2007

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections and rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s: WS

Kia Silverbrook

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762